26 May 1964

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

	SUBJECT: Press Clip Project	
051/4	l. Continued disucssions on Press Clip Project. Talked with  Mesers. Pforsheimer.	25X1
25X1 25X1	Mesers. Pforsheimer, d Mrs. A summary of these discussions follows:	23/1
207(1	outside of the end of schools lottoms:	
	a. Generally concluded that the primary purpose behind the	
	project is for security and/or CI purposes and that both the Office	
25X1	of Security and CI Staff would be the action units on	
	information assembled in this project.	
	b. There is considerable difference of opinion where the central files should be established and maintained.	
	felt that the project should be given to the users, i.e., Office of	25X1
. 16	Security or CI Staff and that the present workload in Public Affairs	
	is such that they are now just harely able to maintain an indexing	
	and life operation on their current product. (He mainted out that	
, at s	they have a backlog of five months work on the new filing system.)	•
	c. Office of Security felt that the logical place for this project	
	would be in Public Affairs, since they are presently cranked up	
	to process the bulk of this information and that he a minimum of	
	additional help they could take on additional input from FDD and the	
	DD/P. (Pioraneimer tends to agree with this position.) DD/P as	
	expected, is reluctant to take on this responsibility. However,	
	they pointed out that they will have a continuing interest in the in-	
	formation produced from their more specialised CI objectives.	
	2. It is obvious that even the domestic press is not being adequately	
	covered at present. Current coverage could be improved by the following	
	methods:	
	a. Levying additional requirements on OCR Library for periodical and book reviews.	
	b. Providing assistance toin his review of the wire	
051/4	services, the 20 daily and 17 Sunday newspapers, and the 29	
25X1	periodicals.   suggests that we could use some of the	
	personnel assigned to the O/DCI Security Staff to assist in this	
	review.	

- c. Eliminate duplication of reviews. For example, Office of Security is now reviewing the Washington Post, the New York Times, the People's World and the West Coast Daily Worker, all of which are being reviewed by Public Affairs Staff and possibly others in the DD/F.
- d. Levying additional requirements on review of local papers in their areas. In the process we should assure, of course, that they do not duplicate the review presently being performed by Public Affairs.
- J. There are obvious gaps in the review of foreign press and periodicals. There is much to be done to improve this coverage and crank it into a centralized system. For example, it appears that FDD take is being passed to DDP/CI (in fulfillment of a similar type requirement) but not being passed to PAS. CI take from their field stations is not being formally processed for passage to PAS, and it appears that there is nothing being done to review \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ take for these purposes. Although we should not exclude foreign press from this project, it may be wise to defer properly handling the demestic press.

Assistant to the Director

STAT